

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1)  
July 2020**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT****DOCKETING STATEMENT****Case Number:** 23-1913**Short Case Caption:** Xerox Corp. v. X Corp.**Filing Party/Entity:** Xerox Corporation

**Instructions:** Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
PTAB	IPR2021-01398	Inter Partes Review

Relief sought on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

Reversal of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's determination in IPR2021-01398 that claims 1–18 of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,475 are unpatentable.

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): ☐ None/Not Applicable

The Board determined in IPR2021-01398 that claims 1–18 of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,475 are unpatentable.

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

The Board's March 13, 2023 Final Written Decision in IPR2021-01398 held that claims 1–18 are unpatentable.

Nature of judgment (select one):

Date of judgment: 3/13/23☒ Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295☐ Rule 54(b)☐ Interlocutory Order (specify type) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Other (explain) \_\_\_\_\_

## FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2)  
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. ☒ None/Not Applicable

Case No. 23-1714, Palo Alto Research Center, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.

Issues to be raised on appeal: ☐ None/Not Applicable

Whether the Board erred when it determined in IPR2021-01398 that claims 1–18 of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,475 are unpatentable.

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If “yes,” when were the last such discussions?

- ☐ Before the case was filed below
- ☐ During the pendency of the case below
- ☐ Following the judgment/order appealed from

If “yes,” were the settlement discussions mediated? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If they were mediated, by whom?

N/A

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Explain.

Parties are at an impasse.

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court’s mediation program.

N/A

Date: 6/1/23 Signature: /s/ Joel L. Thollander

Name: Joel L. Thollander